

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/009,291	O'SHAUGHNESSY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill	<b>Art Unit</b> 1775	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill. (3) Eric Snustad.

(2) Archene Turner. (4) John Dolan.

Date of Interview: 29 October 2003.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)


THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*Discussed references to Eby et al and Medwick et al. No agreement was reached as to proposed amendment.*

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required  
10/29/03



RECEIVED  
OCT 31 2003  
TC 1700

1775

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the application of:

Attorney Docket No.: 44046.203.160.4.2

O'Shaughnessy et al.

Application No.: 10/009,291

Examiner: Blackwell Rudasil, Gwendolyn

Filed: 06/17/2002

Group Art Unit: 1775

For: Temporary Protective Covers

TECHNOLOGY CENTER

OCT 27 2003

RECEIVED

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

This Proposed Amendment is being submitted to facilitate discussion during the in-person interview of this case scheduled for Wednesday, 29 October 2003, at 2pm. This Proposed Amendment is to be used for discussion purposes, rather than being entered into the file.

Applicant's counsel thanks Examiners Rudasil and Turner for extending them the courtesy of this interview.

1. (First Proposed Amendment) A substrate having an exterior surface that is durable to a selected washing fluid, the substrate being installed in a position wherein the exterior surface is exposed to an outdoor environment, the exterior surface carrying a temporary protective cover comprising a sputtered film that protects the exterior surface against contamination but that can readily be removed from the exterior surface by washing with the selected washing fluid, wherein the cover is stable in the presence of water and is durable to glass tempering procedures, and wherein the selected washing fluid is a weak acid or a weak base and the cover breaks down in the presence of the weak acid or weak base.

1. (Second Proposed Amendment) A substrate having an exterior surface that is durable to a selected washing fluid, the substrate being installed in a position wherein the exterior surface is exposed to an outdoor environment, the exterior surface carrying a temporary protective cover comprising formed of a sputtered metal oxide film that protects the exterior surface against contamination but that can readily be removed from the exterior surface by washing with the selected washing fluid, wherein the cover is stable in the presence of water, and wherein the selected washing fluid is a weak acid or a weak base and the cover breaks down in the presence of the weak acid or weak base.

1. (Third Proposed Amendment) A substrate having an exterior surface that is durable to a selected washing fluid, the substrate being installed in a position wherein the exterior surface is

exposed to an outdoor environment, the exterior surface carrying a temporary protective cover comprising a sputtered metal oxide film that protects the exterior surface against contamination but that can readily be removed from the exterior surface by washing with the selected washing fluid, wherein the metal oxide film is selected from the group consisting of zinc oxide, bismuth oxide, cadmium oxide, iron oxide, and nickel oxide, wherein the cover has a thickness of at least about 25Å but less than about 100Å, wherein the cover is stable in the presence of water, and wherein the selected washing fluid is a weak acid or a weak base and the cover breaks down in the presence of the weak acid or weak base.